

972 E

ESSEX JUNCTION, VT 05452

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/01/2004 Michael R. Ouellette BUR920040013US1 5204 10/711,205 **EXAMINER** 24241 7590 02/01/2006 CUNNINGHAM, TERRY D IBM MICROELECTRONICS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1000 RIVER STREET

2816

DATE MAILED: 02/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<i></i> ;
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/711,205	OUELLETTE ET AL	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Terry D. Cunningham	2816	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status		,		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 September 2004</u> is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	152)

Application/Control Number: 10/711,205 Page 2

Art Unit: 2816

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-15, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, lines 9-10, there is no antecedent for "the supply voltage".

Claims 2-14 are rejected as not overcoming the indefiniteness discussed above with claim 1.

Claim 15, lines 9-10, there is no antecedent for "the second terminal".

In claim 16, lines 6-7, "a reference voltage" has already been recited in line 5.

Claims 16-18 are rejected as not overcoming the indefiniteness discussed above with claim 15.

In claim 18, line 5, there is no antecedent for "the plurality of electronic fuses". In lines 5-6, there is no support found in the specification for "comparing the voltage drop across each of the plurality of electronic fuses…". As seen in Figs. 3-4, there is no individual comparison of each of the individual fuses. The comparator is connected commonly to all of the fuses. In lines 7-8, there is no support found in the specification for the language therein.

Claims 19-20 are indefinite for similar reason as claims 16-18.

Claim 20 is indefinite for similar reasons as claim 18.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/711,205 Page 3

Art Unit: 2816

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tsukude et al. (USPN 6,011,428). Tsukude et al. discloses, in Fig. 2, a circuit that will provide the method of "selecting an electronic fuse for programming (Col. 12, lines 54-58 discloses programming selected fuses)"; "programming the electronic fuse (Col. 12, lines 54-58)"; "providing a reference voltage for a sensing operation (with 10)"; and "comparing a voltage drop across the selected fuse for programming to a reference voltage during the sensing operation.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-15 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action. Many of the cited prior art reference disclose similar circuitry to that claimed, but not including all of the recited elements. The reference to Tsukude et al. discloses a circuit similar to the claimed. However, the fuses are laser programmable fuses, not high voltage programmable. There is no motivation seen to modify the cited references to meet the limitation of claims 1-15.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terry Cunningham whose telephone number is 571-272-1742. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy P. Callahan can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/711,205 Page 4

Art Unit: 2816

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TC January 31, 2006 Terry D. Cunningham Primary Examiner Art Unit 2816